arXiv:1408.4571v1 [math.AP] 20 Aug 2014

Existence of multiple solutions of p-fractional Laplace operator with sign-changing weight function

Sarika Goyal[*] and **K. Sreenadh**[†]

Department of Mathematics,

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khaz, New Delhi-16, India.

Abstract

In this article, we study the following p-fractional Laplacian equation

$$(P_\lambda)\begin{cases} -2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|u(y)-u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x))}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dy = \lambda|u(x)|^{p-2}u(x) + b(x)|u(x)|^{\beta-2}u(x) \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \quad u \in W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary, $n > p\alpha$, $p \geq 2$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $\lambda > 0$ and $b : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a sign-changing continuous function. We show the existence and multiplicity of non-negative solutions of (P_λ) with respect to the parameter λ, which changes according to whether $1 < \beta < p$ or $p < \beta < p^* = \frac{np}{n-p\alpha}$ respectively. We discuss both the cases separately. Non-existence results are also obtained.

Key words: Non-local operator, fractional Laplacian, sign-changing weight function, Nehari manifold.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A15, 35B33, 35H39

[*]email: sarika1.iitd@gmail.com

[†]e-mail: sreenadh@gmail.com

1 Introduction

The aim of this article is to study the existence and multiplicity of non-negative solutions of following equation which is driven by the non-local operator $\mathcal{L}_K$ as

$$\begin{cases} -\mathcal{L}_K(u) = \lambda|u(x)|^{p-2}u(x) + b(x)|u(x)|^{\beta-2}u(x) \text{ in } \Omega \\ \qquad u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_K$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_K u(x) = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y) - u(x)|^{p-2}(u(y) - u(x))K(x-y)dy \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and $K : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to (0, \infty)$ satisfying:
(a) $mK \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $m(x) = \min\{1, |x|^p\}$,
(b) there exist $\theta > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $K(x) \geq \theta|x|^{-(n+p\alpha)}$,
(c) $K(x) = K(-x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.
Here Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary, $n > p\alpha$, $p \geq 2$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda > 0$ and $b : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a sign-changing continuous function.
In particular, if $K(x) = |x|^{-(n+p\alpha)}$ then $\mathcal{L}_K$ becomes p-fractional Laplacian operator and is denoted by $(-\Delta)_p^\alpha$.
Recently a lot of attention is given to the study of fractional and non-local operators of elliptic type due to concrete real world applications in finance, thin obstacle problem, optimization, quasi-geostrophic flow etc. Dirichlet boundary value problem in case of fractional Laplacian with polynomial type nonlinearity using variational methods is recently studied in [6, 18, 19, 21, 20, 24]. Also existence and multiplicity results for non-local operators with convex-concave type nonlinearity is shown in [22]. In case of square root of Laplacian, existence and multiplicity results for sublinear and superlinear type of nonlinearity with sign-changing weight function is studied in [24]. In [24], author used the idea of Caffarelli and Silvestre [7], which gives a formulation of the fractional Laplacian through Dirichlet-Neumann maps. Recently eigenvalue problem related to $p-$fractional Laplacian is studied in [10, 17].
For $\alpha = 1$, a lot of work has been done for multiplicity of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems with positive nonlinearities [1, 2, 3, 23]. Moreover multiplicity results with polynomial type nonlinearity with sign-changing weight functions using Nehari manifold and fibering map analysis is also studied in many papers (see refs.[23, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 5]). In this work we use fibering map analysis and Nehari manifold approach to solve the problem (1.1). The approach is not new but the results that we obtained are new. Our work is motivated by the work of Servadei and Valdinoci [18], Brown and Zhang [16] and Afrouzi et al. [4].
First we define the space

$$X_0 = \left\{u|\ u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable}, u|_\Omega \in L^p(\Omega), (u(x) - u(y))\sqrt[p]{K(x-y)} \in L^p(Q), u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega\right\},$$

where $Q = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega \times \mathcal{C}\Omega)$. In the next section, we study the properties of the X_0 in details.

Definition 1.1 *A function $u \in X_0$ is a weak solution of (1.1), if u satisfies*

$$\int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))K(x-y)dxdy \\ = \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^{p-2}uvdx + \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^{\beta-2}uvdx \ \ \forall \ \ v \in X_0. \tag{1.2}$$

We define the Euler function $J_\lambda : X_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ associated to the problem (1.1) as

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p}\int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \frac{\lambda}{p}\int_\Omega |u|^p dx - \frac{1}{\beta}\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta.$$

Then J_λ is Fréchet differentiable in X_0 and

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u), v\rangle = \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))K(x-y)dxdy \\ - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^{p-2}uvdx - \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^{\beta-2}uvdx,$$

which shows that the weak solutions of (1.1) are exactly the critical points of the functional J_λ.

In order to state our main result, we introduce some notations. The Nehari Manifold $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \left\{ u \in X_0 : \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda\int_\Omega |u|^p dx - \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx = 0 \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$ are subset of $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ corresponding to local minima, local maxima and points of inflection of the fiber maps $t \mapsto J_\lambda(tu)$. For more details refer Section 2. Now we state the main result. In $p-$sublinear case($1 < \beta < p$), we first studies the existence result for problem (1.1) with $\lambda < \lambda_1$ and the asymptotic behavior of these solutions as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^-$. We have the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.2 *For every $\lambda < \lambda_1$, problem (1.1) possesses at least one non-negative solution which is a minimizer for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Moreover, if $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$, then*

(i) $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\lambda_1^-} \inf\limits_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u) = -\infty.$

(ii) If $\lambda_k \to \lambda_1^-$ and u_k is a minimizer of J_{λ_k} on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, then $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \|u_k\| = +\infty$.

Now, we state the multiplicity results for $\lambda > \lambda_1$ and the asymptotic behavior for these solutions as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^+$.

Theorem 1.3 *Suppose $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$, then there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that the problem (1.1) has at least two non-negative solutions whenever $\lambda_1 < \lambda < \lambda_1 + \delta_1$, the two solutions are minimizers of $J_\lambda(u)$ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ respectively. Moreover, we have:*

(i) $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\lambda_1^+}\inf\limits_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) = +\infty.$

(ii) If $\lambda_k \to \lambda_1^+$ *and* u_k *is a minimizer of* J_{λ_k} *on* $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$*, then* $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\|u_k\| = +\infty.$

Next, we study the $p-$superlinear case($p < \beta < p^*$), in which we first study the existence result for problem (1.1) with $\lambda < \lambda_1$ and the asymptotic behavior of these solutions as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^-$. We have the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.4 *For every* $\lambda < \lambda_1$*, problem* (1.1) *possesses at least one non-negative solution which is a minimizer for* J_λ *on* $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$*. Moreover, if* $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$*, then*

(i) $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\lambda_1^-}\inf\limits_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) = 0.$

(ii) If $\lambda_k \to \lambda_1^-$ *and* u_k *is a minimizer of* J_{λ_k} *on* $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$*, then* $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} u_k = 0.$

Next, we state the multiplicity result for $\lambda > \lambda_1$ and the asymptotic behavior for these solutions as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^+$.

Theorem 1.5 *Suppose* $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$*, then there exists* $\delta_1 > 0$ *such that the problem* (1.1) *has at least two non-negative solutions whenever* $\lambda_1 < \lambda < \lambda_1 + \delta_1$*, the two solutions are minimizers of* $J_\lambda(u)$ *on* $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ *and* $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ *respectively. Moreover, let* u_k *be minimizer of* J_{λ_k} *on* $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ *with* $\lambda_k \to \lambda_1^+$*, then*

(i) $u_k \to 0$ *as* $k \to \infty$*.*

(ii) $\frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|} \to \phi_1$ *in* X_0 *as* $k \to \infty$*.*

We should remark that the assumption $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$ is necessary for obtaining the existence result for problem (1.1). In fact, the following theorem shows that we can't get a non-trivial solution by looking for minimizer of J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ when $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$.

Theorem 1.6 *Suppose* $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$*, then* $\inf\limits_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) = 0$ *for all* $\lambda > \lambda_1$*.*

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give some preliminaries results. In section 3, we study the behavior of Nehari manifold using fibering map analysis for (1.1). Section 4 contains the existence of non-trivial solutions in $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and non-existence results in $p-$sublinear case. Section 5 contains the existence and non-existence of solutions in $p-$superlinear case.

We shall throughout use the following notations: The norm on X_0 and $L^p(\Omega)$ are denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|u\|_p$ respectively. The weak convergence is denoted by $\rightharpoonup$ and $\to$ denotes strong convergence. We also define $u^+ = \max(u, 0)$ and $u^- = \max(-u, 0)$.

2 Functional Analytic Settings

In this section, we first define the function space and prove some properties which are useful to find the solution of the the problem (1.1). For this we define $W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$, the usual fractional Sobolev space $W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega); \frac{(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{\frac{n}{p}+\alpha}} \in L^p(\Omega \times \Omega) \right\}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^p} + \left(\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.1}$$

To study fractional Sobolev space in details we refer [8].
Due to the non-localness of the operator $\mathcal{L}_K$ we define linear space as follows:

$$X = \left\{ u |\ u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable}, u|_\Omega \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ and } (u(x)-u(y)) \sqrt[p]{K(x-y)} \in L^p(Q) \right\}$$

where $Q = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega \times \mathcal{C}\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{C}\Omega := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. In case of $p = 2$, the space X was firstly introduced by Servadei and Valdinoci [18]. The space X is a normed linear space endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \left(\int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y) dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then we define

$$X_0 = \{ u \in X : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y) dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.3}$$

is a reflexive Banach space. We notice that, even in the model case in which $K(x) = |x|^{n+p\alpha}$, the norms in (2.1) and (2.2) are not same because $\Omega \times \Omega$ is strictly contained in Q. Now we prove some properties of the spaces X and X_0. Proof of these are easy to extend as in [18] but for completeness, we give the detail of proof.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $K : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to (0,\infty)$ be a function satisfying (b). Then*

1. *If $u \in X$ then $u \in W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$ and moreover*

$$\|u\|_{W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)} \le c(\theta)\|u\|_X.$$

2. *If $u \in X_0$ then $u \in W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and moreover*

$$\|u\|_{W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)} \le \|u\|_{W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c(\theta)\|u\|_X.$$

In both the cases $c(\theta) = \max\{1, \theta^{-1/p}\}$, where θ is given in (b).

Proof.

1. Let $u \in X$, then by (b) we have

$$\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy \leq \frac{1}{\theta}\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\theta}\int_{Q} |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy < \infty.$$

   Thus

$$\|u\|_{W^{\alpha,p}} = \|u\|_p + \left(\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\theta)\|u\|_X.$$

2. Let $u \in X_0$ then $u = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. So $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy = \int_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\theta}\int_{Q} |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy < +\infty,$$

as required. □

Lemma 2.2 *Let $K : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to (0,\infty)$ be a function satisfying (b). Then there exists a positive constant c depending on n and α such that for every $u \in X_0$, we have*

$$\|u\|^p_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} = \|u\|^p_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy,$$

where $p^* = \frac{np}{n-p\alpha}$ is fractional critical Sobolev exponent.

Proof. Let $u \in X_0$ then by Lemma 2.1, $u \in W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also we know that $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [8]). Then we have,

$$\|u\|^p_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} = \|u\|^p_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy$$

and hence the result. □

Lemma 2.3 *Let $K : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to (0,\infty)$ be a function satisfying (b). Then there exists $C > 1$, depending only on n, α, p, θ and Ω such that for any $u \in X_0$,*

$$\int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy \leq \|u\|^p_X \leq C\int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy.$$

i.e.

$$\|u\|^p = \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy \tag{2.4}$$

is a norm on X_0 and equivalent to the norm on X.

Proof. Clearly $\|u\|_X^p \geq \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy$. Now by Lemma 2.2 and (b), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_X^p &= \left(\|u\|_p + \left(\int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy \right)^{1/p} \right)^p \\
&\leq 2^{p-1}\|u\|_p^p + 2^{p-1} \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy \\
&\leq 2^{p-1}|\Omega|^{1-\frac{p}{p^*}}\|u\|_{p^*}^p + 2^{p-1} \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy \\
&\leq 2^{p-1}\ c|\Omega|^{1-\frac{p}{p^*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy + 2^{p-1} \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \left(\frac{c|\Omega|^{1-\frac{p}{p^*}}}{\theta} + 1 \right) \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy \\
&= C \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C > 1$ as required. Now we show that (2.4) is a norm on X_0. For this we need only to show that if $\|u\| = 0$ then $u = 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as other properties of norm are obvious. Indeed, if $\|u\| = 0$ then $\int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy = 0$ which implies that $u(x) = u(y)$ a.e in Q. Therefore, u is constant in Q and hence $u = c \in \mathbb{R}$ a.e in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Also by definition of X_0, we have $u = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Thus $u = 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n$. □

Lemma 2.4 *Let $K : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to (0,\infty)$ be a function satisfying (b) and let $\{u_k\}$ be a bounded sequence in X_0. Then there exists $u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that up to a subsequence, $u_k \to u$ strongly in $L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \to \infty$ for any $\beta \in [1, p^*)$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in X_0. Then by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in $W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$ and in $L^p(\Omega)$. Also by assumption on Ω and [4, Corollary 7.2], there exists $u \in L^\beta(\Omega)$ such that up to a subsequence $u_k \to u$ strongly in $L^\beta(\Omega)$ as $k \to \infty$ for any $\beta \in [1, p^*)$. Since $u_k = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$, we can define $u := 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Then we get $u_k \to u$ in $L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

3 Nehari Manifold and fibering map analysis

In this section, we introduce the Nehari Manifold and exploit the relationship between Nehari Manifold and fibering map. Now the Euler functional $J_\lambda : X_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_\Omega |u|^p dx - \frac{1}{\beta} \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta.$$

If J_λ is bounded below on X_0 then minimizers of J_λ on X_0 become the critical point of J_λ. Here J_λ is not bounded below on X_0 but is bounded below on appropriate subset of X_0 and

minimizer on this set(if it exists) give rise to solutions of the problem (1.1). Therefore in order to obtain the existence results, we introduce the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \left\{u \in X_0 : \langle J'_\lambda(u), u\rangle = 0\right\} = \left\{u \in X_0 : \phi'_u(1) = 0\right\}$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ denotes the duality between X_0 and its dual space. Thus $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ if and only if

$$\int_Q |u(x) - u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx - \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

We note that $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ contains every solution of (1.1). Now as we know that the Nehari manifold is closely related to the behavior of the functions $\phi_u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $\phi_u(t) = J_\lambda(tu)$. Such maps are called fiber maps and were introduced by Drabek and Pohozaev in [9]. For $u \in X_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_u(t) &= \frac{t^p}{p}\|u\|^p - \frac{\lambda t^p}{p}\int_\Omega |u|^p dx - \frac{t^\beta}{\beta}\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx,\\
\phi'_u(t) &= t^{p-1}\|u\|^p - \lambda t^{p-1}\int_\Omega |u|^p dx - t^{\beta-1}\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx,\\
\phi''_u(t) &= (p-1)t^{p-2}\|u\|^p - \lambda(p-1)t^{p-2}\int_\Omega |u|^p dx - (\beta-1)t^{\beta-2}\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to see that $tu \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ if and only if $\phi'_u(t) = 0$ and in particular, $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ if and only if $\phi'_u(1) = 0$. Thus it is natural to split $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ into three parts corresponding to local minima, local maxima and points of inflection. For this we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}^\pm_\lambda &:= \left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \phi''_u(1) \gtrless 0\right\} = \left\{tu \in X_0 : \phi'_u(t) = 0,\ \phi''_u(t) \gtrless 0\right\},\\
\mathcal{N}^0_\lambda &:= \left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \phi''_u(1) = 0\right\} = \left\{tu \in X_0 : \phi'_u(t) = 0,\ \phi''_u(t) = 0\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also observe that if $tu \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ then $\phi''_u(t) = (p-\beta)t^{\beta-2}\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx$. Now we describe the behavior of the fibering map ϕ_u according to the sign of $E_\lambda(u) := \|u\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u|^p dx$ and $B(u) := \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx$. Define

$$E^\pm_\lambda := \{u \in X_0 : \|u\| = 1, E_\lambda(u) \gtrless 0\}, \qquad B^\pm := \{u \in X_0 : \|u\| = 1, B(u) \gtrless 0\},$$

$$E^0_\lambda := \{u \in X_0 : \|u\| = 1, E_\lambda(u) = 0\}, \qquad B^0 := \{u \in X_0 : \|u\| = 1, B(u) = 0\}.$$

Case 1: $u \in E^-_\lambda \cap B^+$.
In this case $\phi_u(0) = 0$, $\phi'_u(t) < 0\ \forall\ t > 0$ which means that ϕ_u is strictly decreasing and so it has no critical point.
Case 2: $u \in E^+_\lambda \cap B^-$.
In this case $\phi_u(0) = 0$, $\phi'_u(t) > 0\ \forall\ t > 0$ which implies that ϕ_u is strictly increasing and hence no critical point.
Now the other cases depend on β as the behavior of ϕ_u changes according to $1 < \beta < p$ or

$p < \beta < p^*$.
Case 3: $u \in E_\lambda^+ \cap B^+$.
In $p-$**sublinear** case$(1 < \beta < p)$, $\phi_u(0) = 0$, $\phi_u(t) \to +\infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and $\phi_u(t) < 0$ for small $t > 0$ as $u \in E_\lambda^+ \cap B^+$. Also $\phi_u'(t) = 0$ when $t(u) = \left[\frac{\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx}{\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-\beta}}$. Thus ϕ_u has exactly one critical point $t(u)$, which is a global minimum point. Hence $t(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$.

In $p-$superlinear case$(p < \beta < p^*)$, $\phi_u(0) = 0$, $\phi_u(t) > 0$ for small $t > 0$ as $u \in E_\lambda^+ \cap B^+$, $\phi_u(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and $\phi_u'(t) = 0$ when

$$t(u) = \left[\frac{\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx}{\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta-p}}.$$

This implies that ϕ_u has exactly one critical point $t(u)$, which is a global maximum point. Hence $t(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$.

Case 4: $u \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^-$.
In $p-$**sublinear** case, $\phi_u(0) = 0$, $\phi_u(t) > 0$ for small $t > 0$ as $u \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^-$, $\phi_u(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and $\phi_u'(t) = 0$ when

$$t(u) = \left[\frac{\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx}{\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-\beta}}.$$

This implies that ϕ_u has exactly one critical point $t(u)$, which is a global maximum point. Hence $t(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$.
In $p-$**superlinear** case, $\phi_u(0) = 0$, $\phi_u(t) < 0$ for small $t > 0$ as $u \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^-$, $\phi_u(t) \to +\infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and $\phi_u'(t) = 0$, when $t(u) = \left[\frac{\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx}{\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta-p}}$. Thus ϕ_u has exactly one critical point $t(u)$, which is a global minimum point. Hence $t(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$.
The following Lemma shows that the minimizers for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ are often critical points of J_λ.

Lemma 3.1 *Let u be a local minimizer for J_λ on any of above subsets of $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ such that $u \notin \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$, then u is a critical point for J_λ.*

Proof. Since u is a minimizer for J_λ under the constraint $I_\lambda(u) := \langle J_\lambda'(u), u\rangle = 0$, by the theory of Lagrange multipliers, there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $J_\lambda'(u) = \mu I_\lambda'(u)$. Thus $\langle J_\lambda'(u), u\rangle = \mu \langle I_\lambda'(u), u\rangle = \mu\phi_u''(1) = 0$, but $u \notin \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$ and so $\phi_u''(1) \neq 0$. Hence $\mu = 0$ completes the proof. □

Let λ_1 be the smallest eigenvalue of $-\mathcal{L}_K$ which is characterized as

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in X_0} \left\{ \int_Q |u(x) - u(y)|^p K(x-y) dxdy : \int_\Omega |u|^p = 1 \right\}.$$

Let ϕ_1 denotes the eigenfunction corresponding to the the eigenvalue λ_1. That is (λ_1, ϕ_1) satisfies

$$\left.\begin{array}{c} -\mathcal{L}_K u(x) = \lambda |u(x)|^{p-2}u(x) \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{array}\right\}$$

Then

$$\int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx \geq (\lambda_1 - \lambda)\int_\Omega |u|^p dx \text{ for all } u \in X_0. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, in [10], it is proved that λ_1 is simple. We distinguish the $p-$sublinear and $p-$superlinear case respectively. In the following section we first study the $p-$sublinear case.

4 $p-$Sublinear Case$(1 < \beta < p)$

In this section, we give the detail proof of Theorem 1.2 and 1.3. Using (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p}(\lambda_1 - \lambda)\int_\Omega |u|^p dx - \frac{1}{\beta}\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p}(\lambda_1 - \lambda)\int_\Omega |u|^p dx - \frac{\overline{b}}{\beta}|\Omega|^{1-\frac{\beta}{p}}\left(\int_\Omega |u|^p dx\right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{b} = \sup_{x\in\Omega} b(x)$. Hence J_λ is bounded below on X_0, when $\lambda < \lambda_1$. When $\lambda > \lambda_1$, it is easy to see that $J_\lambda(t\phi_1) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Therefore J_λ is not bounded below on X_0. But we show that it is bounded below on the some subset of $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Also in this case i.e. $(1 < \beta < p)$, from the definition of $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^\pm$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$, it is not difficult to see that

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^\pm = \left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx \gtrless 0\right\},\ \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx = 0\right\}.$$

Now on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$, $J_\lambda(u) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)(\|u\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u|^p dx)$. Then we note that $J_\lambda(u)$ changes sign in $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ but this is true only if both $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ are nonempty. We have $J_\lambda(u) > 0$ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and $J_\lambda(u) < 0$ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$.

When $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, $\|u\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u|^p dx > 0$ for all $u \in X_0$. This implies that $E_\lambda^+ = \{u \in X_0 : \|u\| = 1\}$, E_λ^- and E_λ^0 are empty sets. Thus $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^- = \emptyset = \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ \cup \{0\}$. If $\lambda > \lambda_1$ then

$$\int_Q |\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda\int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx = (\lambda_1 - \lambda)\int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx < 0$$

and so $\phi_1 \in E_\lambda^-$. Hence, for $\lambda = \lambda_1$, we have $E_\lambda^- = \emptyset$ and $E_\lambda^0 = \{\phi_1\}$. And moreover when $\lambda > \lambda_1$, E_λ^- is non-empty and gets bigger as λ increases. Now we discuss the vital role played by the condition $E_\lambda^- \subset B^-$ to determine the nature of Nehari manifold. In view of above discussion, this condition is always satisfied when $\lambda < \lambda_1$ and may or may not be satisfied when $\lambda > \lambda_1$.

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose there exists λ_0 such that for all $\lambda < \lambda_0$, $E_\lambda^- \subset B^-$. Then for all $\lambda < \lambda_0$ we have the following*

(1) $E_\lambda^0 \subseteq B^-$ and so $E_\lambda^0 \cap B^0 = \emptyset$.

(2) $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is bounded.

(3) $0 \notin \overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-}$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ is closed.

(4) $\overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} \cap \mathcal{N}_\lambda^- = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) Suppose this is not true. Then there exists $u \in E_\lambda^0$ such that $u \notin B^-$. If we take μ such that $\lambda < \mu < \lambda_0$, then $u \in E_\mu^-$ and so $E_\mu^- \not\subseteq B^-$ which gives a contradiction. Thus $E_\lambda^0 \subseteq B^-$ and so $E_\lambda^0 \cap B^0 = \emptyset$.

(2) Suppose $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is not bounded. Then there exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ such that $\|u_k\| \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Let $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$. Then we may assume that up to a subsequence $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly in X_0 and so $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ for every $1 \leq p < p^*$. Also $\int_\Omega b|v_k|^\beta > 0$ as $u_k \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and so $\int_\Omega b|v_0|^\beta \geq 0$. Since $u_k \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, we have

$$\int_Q |u_k(x) - u_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx,$$

which implies

$$\|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = \frac{1}{\|u_k\|^{p-\beta}} \int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Suppose $v_k \not\to v_0$ strongly in X_0. Then $\|v_0\|^p < \liminf_{k\to\infty} \|v_k\|^p$ and so

$$\|v_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx < \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_Q |v_k(x) - v_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = 0,$$

which implies that $\|v_0\| \neq 0$. If not, then we get $0 < 0$, a contradiction. Thus $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in E_\lambda^- \subset B^-$ which is a contradiction as $\int_\Omega b(x)|v_0|^\beta dx \geq 0$. Hence $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in X_0. Thus $\|v_0\| = 1$ and

$$\|v_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_Q |v_k(x) - v_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = 0.$$

So $v_0 \in E_\lambda^0 \subseteq B^-$ by (1), which is again a contradiction as $\int_\Omega b|v_0|^\beta dx \geq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is bounded.

(3) Suppose $0 \in \overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} u_k = 0$ in X_0. Let $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$. Then up to a subsequence $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly in X_0 and $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$. As $u_k \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, we have

$$\int_Q |v_k(x) - v_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = \frac{1}{\|u_k\|^{p-\beta}} \int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx \leq 0.$$

Since the left hand side is bounded, it follows that $\int_\Omega b(x)|v_0|^\beta = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta = 0$. Now suppose that $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in X_0. Then $\|v_0\| = 1$ and so $v_0 \in B_0$. Moreover $\|v_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx = \lim_{k\to\infty}\|v_k\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx \le 0$, which implies that $v_0 \in E^0_\lambda$ or E^-_λ. Hence $v_0 \in B^-$ which is a contradiction. Hence we must have $v_k \not\to v_0$ in X_0. Thus $\|v_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx < \lim_{k\to\infty}\|v_k\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx \le 0$, which implies that $\|v_0\| \neq 0$. If $\|v_0\| = 0$, then we get $0 < 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in E^-_\lambda \cap B^0$, which is impossible so $0 \notin \overline{\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda}$.

We now show that $\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$ is a closed set. Let $\{u_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$ be such that $u_k \to u$ strongly in X_0. Then $u \in \overline{\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda}$ and so $u \not\equiv 0$. Moreover, $\|u\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u|^p dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx \le 0$. If both the integral equal to zero, then $\frac{u}{\|u\|} \in E^0_\lambda \cap B^0$, which gives a contradiction to (1). Hence both the integral must be negative, so $u \in \mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$. Thus $\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$ is closed.

(4) Let $u \in \overline{\mathcal{N}^+_\lambda} \cap \mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$. Then $0 \not\equiv u \in \mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$ and moreover

$$\int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda\int_\Omega |u|^p dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx = 0.$$

Thus $\frac{u}{\|u\|} \in E^0_\lambda \cap B^0$, which is a contradiction and hence the result. □

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose there exists λ_0 such that for all $\lambda < \lambda_0$, $E^-_\lambda \subset B^-$. Then for all $\lambda < \lambda_0$ we have,*

(i) J_λ is bounded below on $\mathcal{N}^+_\lambda$.

(ii) J_λ is bounded below on $\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$ and moreover $\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) > 0$ provided $\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$ is non-empty.

Proof. *(i)* It follows from the fact that $\mathcal{N}^+_\lambda$ is bounded.
(ii) Suppose $\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) = 0$. Then there exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$ such that $J_\lambda(u_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, i.e.

$$\|u_k\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx \to 0 \text{ and } \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx \to 0 \text{ as } k\to\infty.$$

Let $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$. Then, since $0 \notin \overline{\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda}$, $\{\|u_k\|\}$ is bounded away from zero, so

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\|v_k\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx\right) = 0.$$

As v_k is bounded in X_0, we may assume that up to a subsequence still denoted by v_k such that $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly in X_0 and $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$. Then $\int_\Omega b(x)|v_0|^\beta dx = 0$.
If $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in X_0 then we have $\|v_0\| = 1$ and $\|v_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx = 0$. i.e. $v_0 \in E^0_\lambda$. Whereas if, $v_k \not\to v_0$ then $\|v_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx < 0$ i.e $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in E^-_\lambda$. In both the cases, we also have $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in B^0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) > 0$. □

Theorem 4.3 *Suppose there exists λ_0 such that $E_\lambda^- \subseteq B^-$ for all $\lambda < \lambda_0$. Then for all $\lambda < \lambda_0$, we have the following*
(i) there exists a minimizer for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$.
(ii) there exists a minimizer for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ provided E_λ^- is non empty.

Proof. (*i*) By Lemma 4.2, J_λ is bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Let $\{u_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ be a minimizing sequence, i.e. $\lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u) < 0$ as $J_\lambda(u) < 0$ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Since $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is bounded, we may assume that up to a subsequence still denoted by $\{u_k\}$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0 and $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$. Since $J_\lambda(u_k) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)\int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx$. It follows that $\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx > 0$ and so $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \in B^+$. Also by Theorem 4.1, $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \in E_\lambda^+$. Thus by the fibering map analysis, ϕ_{u_0} has a unique minimum at $t(u_0)$ such that $t(u_0)u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Now we claim that $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in X_0. Suppose $u_k \not\to u_0$ in X_0. Then

$$\|u_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_0|^p < \lim_{k\to\infty}(\|u_k\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx) = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx$$

and so $t(u_0) > 1$. Hence

$$J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_0) < J_\lambda(u_0) < \lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u),$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we must have $u_k \to u_0$ in X_0, $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ and $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. If $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$ then $\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx = 0$ and $\|u_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx = 0$. This implies that $0 \not\equiv u_0 \in E_\lambda^0 \cap B^0$, a contradiction as $E_\lambda^- \cap B^0 = \emptyset$, which is proved in Theorem 4.1 (1).

(*ii*) Let $\{u_k\}$ be a minimizing sequence for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Then by Lemma 4.2, we must have $\lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) > 0$. Now we claim that $\{u_k\}$ is a bounded sequence. Suppose this is not true. Then there exists a subsequence $\{u_k\}$ such that $\|u_k\| \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Let $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$. Since $\{J_\lambda(u_k)\}$ is bounded, it follows that $\{\int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx\}$ and $\{\|u_k\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx\}$ are bounded and so

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_Q |v_k(x) - v_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda\int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx = 0.$$

Since $\{v_k\}$ is bounded, we may assume that $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly in X_0 and $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ so that $\int_\Omega b(x)|v_0|^\beta = 0$. If $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in X_0 then it is easy to see that $v_0 \in E_\lambda^0 \cap B^0$ which gives a contradiction by Theorem 4.1 (1). Hence $v_k \not\to v_0$ in X_0 and so

$$\|v_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx < \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_Q |v_k(x) - v_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda\int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = 0.$$

Hence $v_0 \not\equiv 0$ and $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^0$, which is again a contradiction. Thus u_k is bounded. So we may assume that up to a subsequence $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0 and $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$.

Suppose $u_k \not\to u_0$ in X_0, then

$$\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx = \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{-1}\lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) < 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx &< \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_Q |u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx\\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^-$ and so $t(u_0)u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, where

$$t(u_0) = \left[\frac{\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx}{\|u_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-\beta}} < 1.$$

Moreover, $t(u_0)u_k \rightharpoonup t(u_0)u_0$ weakly in X_0 but $t(u_0)u_k \not\to t(u_0)u_0$ strongly in X_0 and so

$$J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_0) < \liminf_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_k).$$

Since the map $t \longmapsto J_\lambda(tu_k)$ attains its maximum at $t = 1$, we have

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_k) \le \lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u).$$

Hence $J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_0) < \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u)$, which is impossible. Thus $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in X_0, and it follows easily that u_0 is a minimizer for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. □

In order to prove the existence of non-negative solutions, we first define some notations.

$$F_+ = \int_0^t f_+(x,s)ds,$$

where

$$f_+(x,t) = \begin{cases} f(x,t) & \text{if} \quad t \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad t < 0.\end{cases}$$

In particular, $f(x,t) := b(x)|t|^{\beta-2}t$. Let $J_\lambda^+(u) = \|u\|^p - \int_\Omega F_+(x,u)dx$. Then the functional $J_\lambda^+(u)$ is well defined and it is Fre$\acute{c}$het differentiable at $u \in X_0$ and for any $v \in X_0$

$$\langle J_\lambda^{+\prime}(u), v\rangle = \int_Q |u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))K(x-y)dxdy - \int_\Omega f_+(x,u)vdx. \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover $J_\lambda^+(u)$ satisfies all the above Lemmas and Theorems. So for $\lambda \in (0,\lambda_0)$, there exists two non-trivial critical points $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $v_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ respectively.

Now we claim that u_λ is non-negative in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Take $v = u^- \in X_0$(see Lemma 12 of [20] in case of $p = 2$), in (4.1), where $u^- = \max(-u, 0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =&\langle J_\lambda^{+\prime}(u), u^-\rangle \\
=&\int_Q |u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(u^-(x) - u^-(y))K(x-y)dxdy - \int_\Omega f_+(x,u)u^-(x)dx \\
=&\int_Q |u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(u^-(x) - u^-(y))K(x-y)dxdy \\
=&\int_Q |u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}((u^-(x) - u^-(y))^2 + 2u^-(x)u^+(y))K(x-y)dxdy \\
\geq&\int_Q |u^-(x) - u^-(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy \\
=&\|u^-\|^p
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|u^-\| = 0$ and hence $u = u^+$. So by taking $u = u_\lambda$ and $u = v_\lambda$ respectively, we get the non-negative solutions of (1.1).
Next we study the asymptotic behavior of the minimizers on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^-$.

Theorem 4.4 *Suppose* $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$. *Then* $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\lambda_1^-} \inf\limits_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u) = -\infty$.

Proof. Clearly we have $\phi_1 \in E_\lambda^+ \cap B^+$ for all $\lambda < \lambda_1$ and hence $t(\phi_1)\phi_1 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\lambda(t(\phi_1)\phi_1) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)|t(\phi_1)|^p \left(\int_Q |\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda\int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)\frac{(\int_\Omega b(x)|\phi_1|^\beta dx)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}}}{\left(\int_Q |\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda\int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx\right)^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda)^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}}\frac{(\int_\Omega b(x)|\phi_1|^\beta dx)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}}}{\left(\int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx\right)^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\inf\limits_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u) \leq J_\lambda(t(\phi_1)\phi_1) \to -\infty$ as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^-$. Hence the result. □

Corollary 4.5 *Let* $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$. *Then for every* $\lambda < \lambda_1$, *there exists a minimizer* u_λ *on* $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ *such that* $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\lambda_1^-} \|u_\lambda\| = \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Theorem 1.2 follows easily from Theorem 4.3, 4.7 and Corollary 4.5.

Now we discuss the $p-$sublinear problem with $\lambda > \lambda_1^+$ and $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$. In this case the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 hold some way to the right of $\lambda = \lambda_1$. More precisely,

Lemma 4.6 *Suppose* $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$. *Then there exists* $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ *such that* $u \in E_\lambda^-$ *implies* $\int_\Omega b|u|^\beta dx \leq -\delta_2$ *whenever* $\lambda_1 < \lambda \leq \lambda_1 + \delta_1$.

Proof. We will prove this by a contradiction argument. Suppose there exist sequences $\{\lambda_k\}$ and $\{u_k\}$ such that $\|u_k\| = 1$, $\lambda_k \to \lambda_1^+$ and

$$\int_Q |u_k(x) - u_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda_k \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx < 0 \text{ and } \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta \to 0.$$

Since $\{u_k\}$ is bounded, we may assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0 and $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ for $1 \le p < \frac{np}{n-p\alpha}$. We show that $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in X_0. Suppose this is not true then $\|u_0\| < \liminf_{k\to\infty} \|u_k\|$ and

$$\|u_0\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx < \liminf_{k\to\infty} \left(\|u_k\|^p - \lambda_k \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx \right) \le 0,$$

which is impossible. Hence $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in X_0 and so $\|u_0\| = 1$. It follows that

$$(i)\ \|u_0\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx \le 0 \quad (ii)\ \int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx = 0.$$

But (i) implies that $u_0 = \phi_1$ and then from (ii) we get a contradiction as $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$. □

Theorem 4.7 *Suppose $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ is as in Lemma 4.6. Then for $\lambda_1 < \lambda \le \lambda_1 + \delta_1$, there exist minimizers u_λ and v_λ of J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ respectively.*

Proof. Clearly $\phi_1 \in E_\lambda^-$ and so E_λ^- is non-empty whenever $\lambda > \lambda_1$. By Lemma 4.6, the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 are satisfied with $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 + \delta_1$ and hence the result follows. □

Lemma 4.8 *Suppose $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$, then we have*

(i) $\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_1^+} \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) = +\infty$.

(ii) If $\lambda_k \to \lambda_1^+$ and u_k is a minimizer of J_{λ_k} on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, then $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|u_k\| = +\infty$.

Proof. (i) Let $v \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Then $v = t(u)u$ for some $u \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^-$. Now $\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx < -\delta_2$ provided $\lambda_1 < \lambda \le \lambda_1 + \delta_1$ and

$$0 > \|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx \ge \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \int_Q |u(x) - u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda}{\lambda_1},$$

so that $|\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx| \le \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(v) = J_\lambda(t(u)u) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) |t(u)|^p \left(\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{|\int_\Omega b|u|^\beta dx|^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}}{|\|u\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |u|^p dx|^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} \ge \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}} \delta_2^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}}{(\lambda - \lambda_1)^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\inf_{v\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(v) \to +\infty$ as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^+$. This proofs (i).
(ii) is a direct consequence of (i). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3: The proof of Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 4.7 and Lemma 4.8.

At the end of this section we obtained some non-existence results for $p-$sublinear case.

Lemma 4.9 *Suppose $E_\lambda^- \cap B^+ \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $m > 0$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $u_\epsilon \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^+$ such that*

$$\int_Q |u_\epsilon(x) - u_\epsilon(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^p dx < \epsilon \text{ and } \int_\Omega b(x)|u_\epsilon|^\beta dx > m.$$

Proof. Let $u \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^+$ then $\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx < 0$ and $\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta > 0$. We may choose $h \in X_0$ with arbitrary small L^∞ norm but $\|h\|^p$ is arbitrary large. Thus we may choose h so that $\int_\Omega b(x)|u+\epsilon h|^\beta dx > \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx > 0$ for $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ and $\|u+h\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u+h|^p dx > 0$. Let $u_\epsilon = \frac{u+\epsilon h}{\|u+\epsilon h\|}$, then we claim that $u_\epsilon \in B^+$. In fact we have $\frac{1}{\|u+\epsilon h\|^\beta}\int_\Omega b(x)|u+\epsilon h|^\beta dx \geq \frac{1}{2(\|u\|+\|h\|)^\beta}\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx$. Moreover, we have $u_0 \in E_\lambda^-$ and $u_1 \in E_\lambda^+$. Let $\eta(\epsilon) = \|u_\epsilon\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^p dx$ for $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$. Then $\eta : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $\eta(0) < 0$ and $\eta(1) > 0$ and so it is easy to see that for any given $\delta > 0$ there exist ϵ such that u_ϵ has required properties. □

Lemma 4.10 *J_λ is unbounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ whenever $E_\lambda^- \cap B^+ \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $u \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^+$. Then by Lemma 4.9, there exists $m > 0$ and a sequence $\{u_k\} \subseteq E_\lambda^- \cap B^+$ such that $\int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx \geq m$ and $0 < \|u_k\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx < \frac{1}{k}$. Also using the same calculation as in Lemma 4.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(t(u_k)u_k) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{(\int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}}}{(\|u_k\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx)^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} \\ &< \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) m^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}} k^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \to -\infty \text{ as } k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence J_λ is unbounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$. □

Lemma 4.11 *J_λ is unbounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ when either of the following condition hold:*

(*i*) *$\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$ and $\lambda > \lambda_1$;*

(*ii*) *$\lambda > \lambda_b$, where λ_b denotes the principal eigenvalue of*

$$\left.\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_K u(x) = \lambda |u(x)|^{p-2}u(x) \text{ in } \Omega^+ \\ u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega^+, \end{aligned}\right\}$$

with eigenfunction $\phi_b \in X_0$, and $\Omega^+ = \{x \in \Omega : b(x) > 0\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, it is sufficient to show that $E_\lambda^- \cap B^+ \neq \emptyset$. If (*i*) holds, then $\phi_1 \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^+$. And if (*ii*) holds, then $\phi_b \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^+$. □

5 $p-$Superlinear Case $(p < \beta < p^*)$

In this section we give the proof of Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6. At the end of this section, we also show the non-existence results. We note that, for $p < \beta < p^*$, it is not difficult to see that

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^- = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx > 0 \right\} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx < 0 \right\}. \tag{5.1}$$

5.1 Case when $\lambda < \lambda_1$

When $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, $\int_Q |u(x) - u(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx > (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \int_\Omega |u|^p dx > 0$ for all $u \in X_0$ and so $E_\lambda^+ = \{u \in X_0 : \|u\| = 1\}$. Thus E_λ^- and E_λ^0 are empty sets and so $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \{0\}$. Moreover $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^- = \{t(u)u : u \in B^+\}$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \mathcal{N}_\lambda^- \cup \{0\}$.

Lemma 5.1 *(i) If $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ then $J_\lambda(u)$ is bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. And moreover $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) > 0$.*

(ii) There exists a minimizers of J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$.

Proof. *(i)* On $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \int_\Omega b|u|^\beta dx = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \left[\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx \right],$$

Thus $J_\lambda(u) \geq 0$ whenever $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Hence J_λ is bounded below by 0 on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Next, we show that $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) > 0$. Suppose $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Then $v = \frac{u}{\|u\|} \in E_\lambda^+ \cap B^+$ and $u = t(v)v$, where $t(v) = \left[\frac{\|v\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v|^p dx}{\int_\Omega b|v|^\beta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta - p}}$. Now

$$\int_\Omega b(x)|v|^\beta dx \leq \overline{b} \int_\Omega |v|^\beta dx \leq \overline{b} K \|v\|^{\beta/p} = \overline{b} K,$$

where $\overline{b} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} b(x)$ and K is a Sobolev embedding constant. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) = J_\lambda(t(v)v) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) |t(v)|^p \left[\|v\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v|^p dx \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{\left(\|v\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta - p}}}{\left(\overline{b} K\right)^{\frac{p}{\beta - p}}} \end{aligned}$$

and hence the result follows.

(ii) Let $\{u_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ be a minimizing sequence for J_λ i.e. $\lim_{k \to \infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) > 0$. As

$$J_\lambda(u_k) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \left[\|u_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx \right] \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \|u_k\|^p,$$

so $\{u_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in X_0. Thus we may assume that up to a subsequence still denoted by $\{u_k\}$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0 and $u_k \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ and $L^\beta(\Omega)$. Now $0 < \lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx$. It follows that $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Also $\|u_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx \geq (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx > 0$. Thus $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \in B^+ \cap E^+_\lambda$. We now show that $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in X_0. Suppose $u_k \not\to u_0$ in X_0. Then

$$\|u_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx - \int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx < \liminf_{k\to\infty} \|u_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx - \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx = 0.$$

Also by the fibering map analysis we have that ϕ_{u_0} has a unique maximum at $t(u_0)$ such that $t(u_0)u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$ and $t(u_0) < 1$. As $u_k \in \mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$, the map ϕ_u attains its maximum at $t = 1$. Hence

$$J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_0) < \liminf_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_k) \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda} J_\lambda(u).$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we must have $u_k \to u_0$ in X_0. Thus $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$ and $J_\lambda(u_0) = \lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda} J_\lambda(u)$. Since $\int_\Omega b|u_0|^\beta dx > 0$, $u_0 \notin \mathcal{N}^0_\lambda$. So u_0 is a critical point of J_λ. □

Theorem 5.2 *Suppose $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$. Then*

(*i*) $\lim_{\lambda\to\lambda_1^-} \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) = 0$.

(*ii*) *If $\lambda_k \to \lambda_1^-$ and u_k is a minimizer of J_{λ_k} on $\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$, then $\lim_{k\to\infty} u_k = 0$*

Proof.

(*i*) Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|\phi_1\| = 1$. Since $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$ and

$$\int_Q |\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx = (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx > 0,$$

we have $\phi_1 \in E^+_\lambda \cap B^+$ for all $\lambda < \lambda_1$ and hence $t(\phi_1)\phi_1 \in \mathcal{N}^-_\lambda$, where $t(\phi_1) = \left[\frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda)\int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx}{\int_\Omega b(x)|\phi_1|^\beta dx}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta-p}}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(t(\phi_1)\phi_1) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) |t(\phi_1)|^\beta \int_\Omega b(x)|\phi_1|^\beta dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) (\lambda_1 - \lambda)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-p}} \frac{\left(\int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-p}}}{\left(\int_\Omega b(x)|\phi_1|^\beta dx\right)^{\frac{p}{\beta-p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $0 < \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) \leq J_\lambda(t(\phi_1)\phi_1) \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^-$. Hence $\lim_{\lambda\to\lambda_1^-} \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) = 0$.

(*ii*) We first show that $\{u_k\}$ is bounded. Suppose not, then we may assume that $\|u_k\| \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Let $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$. Then we may assume that $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly in X_0 and $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ for every $1 \leq p < p^*$. Since $u_k \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, we have

$$J_{\lambda_k}(u_k) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \left[\|u_k\|^p - \lambda_k \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx\right] = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$

by (i) and so we get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\|v_k\|^p - \lambda_k\int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx\right) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx = 0.$$

Suppose $v_k \not\to v_0$ strongly in X_0. Then

$$\|v_0\|^p - \lambda_1\int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx < \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_Q |v_k(x)-v_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda_k\int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = 0,$$

which is impossible. Hence $v_k \to v_0$ in X_0. Thus we must have

$$\|v_0\|^p - \lambda_1\int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx = \lim_{k\to\infty}\|v_k\|^p - \lambda_k\int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = 0,$$

and so $v_0 = k\phi_1$ for some k. Since $\int_\Omega b(x)|v_0|^\beta dx = 0$ implies that $k = 0$. Thus $v_0 = 0$, which is again impossible as $\|v_0\| = 1$. Hence $\{u_k\}$ is bounded. So we assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0. Thus by using the same argument, we can get that $u_k \to u_0$ and $u_0 = 0$. Hence the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4: Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

5.2 Case when $\lambda > \lambda_1$

If $\lambda > \lambda_1$, then

$$\int_Q |\phi_1(x)-\phi_1(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda\int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx = (\lambda_1-\lambda)\int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx < 0.$$

and so $\phi_1 \in E_\lambda^-$. Hence if $\int_\Omega b(x)|\phi_1|^\beta < 0$ then $\phi_1 \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^-$ and so $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is non-empty. For $\lambda = \lambda_1$, we have $E_\lambda^- = \emptyset$ and $E_\lambda^0 = \{\phi_1\}$.
When $\lambda > \lambda_1$, and if $\phi_1 \in B^-$, then it follows that $\overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+}$ is empty. We show that this is an important condition for establishing the existence of minimizers.

Lemma 5.3 *Suppose $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$ then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $u \in \overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+} = \emptyset$ whenever $\lambda_1 < \lambda \le \lambda_1 + \delta$.*

Proof. This can be prove in a similar way as in Lemma 4.6. □

Theorem 5.4 *Suppose $\overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+} = \emptyset$. Then we have the following:*

1. *$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \{0\}$.*
2. *$0 \notin \overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-}$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ is closed.*
3. *$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ are separated, i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} = \emptyset$.*
4. *$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is bounded.*

Proof.

1. Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \in E_\lambda^0 \cap B^0 \subseteq \overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+} = \emptyset$, which is impossible. Hence $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \{0\}$.

2. Suppose by contradiction that $0 \in \overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} u_k = 0$ in X_0. Since $u_k \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$,
$$0 < \|u_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty$$
implies that
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\|u_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx \right) = 0.$$
Let $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$. Then up to a subsequence $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly in X_0 and $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$. Clearly
$$0 < \|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = \|u_k\|^{\beta-p} \int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$
Thus we have
$$0 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx \right) = 1 - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx$$
and so $v_0 \neq 0$. Moreover
$$\|v_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = 0,$$
and so $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in \overline{E_\lambda^-}$. Since $\int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx > 0$, it follows that $\int_\Omega b(x)|v_0|^\beta dx \geq 0$ and so $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in \overline{B^+}$, which is a contradiction. Thus we have $0 \notin \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$.

We now show that $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ is a closed set. Clearly $\overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\lambda^- \cup \{0\}$. But $0 \notin \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ so it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} = \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$.

3. Using (i) and (ii), we have $\overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\lambda^- \cap (\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ \cup \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0) = (\mathcal{N}_\lambda^- \cap \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+) \cup (\mathcal{N}_\lambda^- \cap \{0\}) = \emptyset$, and so $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ are separated.

4. Suppose $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is not bounded. Then as in Theorem there exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$ satisfy $\|u_k\| \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ and $\|u_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx < 0$ and
$$\|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = \|u_k\|^{\beta-p} \int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx.$$
Since $\|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx$ is bounded and $\|u_k\| \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, we have $\int_\Omega b(x)|v_0|^\beta dx = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx = 0$. We now show that $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in X_0. Suppose $v_k \not\to v_0$ strongly in X_0. Then from (5.1),
$$\|v_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_0|^p < \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_Q |v_k(x) - v_k(y)|^p K(x-y) dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p \leq 0. \qquad (5.2)$$

Thus $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in \overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $v_k \to v_0$ in X_0. Therefore $\|v_0\| = 1$. From this and equation (5.2) we obtain $v_0 \in \overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+}$, which is again a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is bounded. □

Next we show that J_λ is bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and bounded away from zero on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Moreover for $\lambda < \lambda_0$, J_λ achieves its minimizers on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ provided $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ is non-empty. We also note that $J_\lambda(u)$ changes sign in $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$. We have $J_\lambda(u) > 0$ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and $J_\lambda(u) < 0$ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$.

Theorem 5.5 *Suppose $\overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+} = \emptyset$, Then, we have the following*
(i) every minimizing sequence of $J_\lambda(u)$ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ is bounded.
(ii) $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) > 0$.
(iii) there exists a minimizer for $J_\lambda(u)$ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$.

Proof. *(i)* Let $\{u_k\} \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ be a minimizing sequence for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Then

$$\|u_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx \to c \geq 0$$

We claim that $\{u_k\}$ is a bounded sequence. Suppose this is not true i.e $\|u_k\| \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Let $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$. Then $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly in X_0 and $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$. Also

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_Q |v_k(x) - v_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta \|u_k\|^{\beta-p} dx \to 0.$$

Since $\|u_k\| \to +\infty$, it follows that $\int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ and so $\int_\Omega b(x)|v_0|^\beta dx = 0$. Next, suppose $v_k \not\to v_0$ in X_0 and so

$$\|v_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx < \lim_{k\to\infty} \|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = 0.$$

Thus $v_0 \neq 0$ and $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in \overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+}$ which is impossible. Hence $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in X_0. It follows that $\|v_0\| = 1$ and $\|v_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|v_0|^\beta = 0$. Thus, $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in E_\lambda^0 \cap B^0$, which is again a contradiction as $\overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+} = \emptyset$. Hence $\{u_k\}$ is bounded.

(ii) Clearly $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) \geq 0$. Suppose $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) = 0$. Then let $\{u_k\}$ be a minimizing sequence such that $J_\lambda(u_k) \to 0$. By *(i)*, $\{u_k\}$ is bounded. Thus we may assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0 and $u_k \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Also $u_k \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ implies that $\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx \geq 0$. Now suppose $u_k \not\to u_0$ in X_0 then

$$\|u_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx < \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_Q |u_k(x) - u_k(y)|^p K(x-y)dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx = 0$$

which implies that $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \in \overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+}$, which is impossible. Hence $u_k \to u_0$. Also $u_0 \neq 0$, since $0 \notin \overline{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-}$. It then follows exactly as in the proof in *(i)* that $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \in E_\lambda^0 \cap B^0$ which is impossible

as $\overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+} = \emptyset$.

(iii) Let $\{u_k\}$ be a minimizing sequence. Then

$$J_\lambda(u_k) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)(\|u_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx \to \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) > 0.$$

Also by (i), $\{u_k\}$ is bounded. Therefore, we may assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0 and $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in L^p. Then $\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx > 0$. Since $\overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+} = \emptyset$, it follows that $B^+ \subseteq E_\lambda^+$ and so $\|u_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx > 0$. Hence $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \in E_\lambda^+ \cap B^+$. Therefore there exists $t(u_0)$ such that $t(u_0)u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, where

$$t(u_0) = \left[\frac{\|u_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx}{\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta - p}}.$$

We now show that $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in X_0. Suppose not, then

$$\|u_0\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx < \lim_{k\to\infty} \|u_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx$$

and so $t(u_0) < 1$. Since $t(u_0)u_k \rightharpoonup t(u_0)u_0$ weakly in X_0 but $t(u_0)u_k \not\to t(u_0)u_0$ strongly in X_0 and so

$$J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_0) < \lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_k).$$

Since the map $t \mapsto J_\lambda(tu_k)$ attains its maximum at $t = 1$, we have

$$J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_0) < \liminf_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_k) \le \lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u),$$

which is impossible. Thus $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in X_0, and it follows easily that u_0 is a minimizer for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. □

Theorem 5.6 *Suppose E_λ^- is non-empty but $\overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+} = \emptyset$. Then there exist a minimizer of J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{E_\lambda^-} \cap \overline{B^+} = \emptyset$, $E_\lambda^- \cap B^- \neq \emptyset$ and so $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ must be nonempty. Also by Theorem 5.4, we have $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is bounded so there exist $M > 0$ such that $\|u\| \le M$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Hence by using Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx \ge \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \underline{b} \int_\Omega |u|^\beta dx \\ &\ge \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \underline{b} K \|u\|^\beta \ge \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \underline{b} K M^\beta \end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{b} = \inf_{x\in\Omega} b(x)$. Thus J_λ is bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and so $\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u)$ exists. Moreover, $\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u) < 0$.

Suppose that $\{u_k\}$ is a minimizing sequence on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Then

$$J_\lambda(u_k) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)\left[\|u_k\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx\right] = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)\int_\Omega b|u_k|^\beta dx \to \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u) < 0$$

as $k \to \infty$. Since $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is bounded, we may assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0 and $u_k \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ and $L^\beta(\Omega)$. Then

$$\int_\Omega b|u_0|^\beta dx = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega b|u_k|^\beta dx < 0 \text{ and } \|u_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx < \lim_{k\to\infty}\left[\|u_k\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_k|^p\right] < 0.$$

Hence $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^-$ and so there exist $t(u_0)$ such that $t(u_0)u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Suppose $u_k \not\to u_0$ then

$$\|u_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx < \lim_{k\to\infty}\left[\|u_k\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx\right] = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega b|u_k|^\beta dx = \int_\Omega b|u_0|^\beta dx < 0.$$

So

$$t(u_0) = \left[\frac{\|u_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx}{\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta-p}} > 1.$$

But this leads to a contradiction as

$$J_\lambda(t(u_0)u_0) < J_\lambda(u_0) \le \lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u).$$

Thus we must have $u_k \to u_0$ in X_0, and so $\|u_0\|^p - \lambda\int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx = \int_\Omega b|u_0|^\beta dx < 0$. Thus $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $J_\lambda(u_0) = \lim_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u)$. Since $\int_\Omega b|u_0|^\beta dx < 0$, $u_0 \notin \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$ and so u_0 is a critical point of J_λ. □

Theorem 5.7 *Suppose $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$. Then there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for $\lambda_1 < \lambda \le \lambda_1 + \delta_1$ there exist minimizers u_λ and v_λ of J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ respectively.*

Proof. Clearly $\phi_1 \in E_\lambda^-$ and so E_λ^- is non-empty whenever $\lambda \ge \lambda_1$. By Lemma 5.3, the hypotheses of Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.6 are satisfied with $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 + \delta_1$ and hence the result follows. □

By considering J_λ^+ as in $p-$sublinear case, we get non-negative solutions in the similar way. Finally, in this section we investigate the behavior of $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^+$

Theorem 5.8 *Suppose $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$ and $u_k \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ for $\lambda = \lambda_k$ where $\lambda_k \to \lambda_1^+$. Then as $k \to \infty$ we have (i) $u_k \to 0$ and (ii) $\frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|} \to \phi_1$ in X_0.*

Proof. (*i*) As $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is bounded so we may suppose that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0 and $u_k \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Also

$$\|u_k\|^p - \lambda_k\int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u_k|^\beta dx < 0 \text{ for all } k.$$

Now suppose that $u_k \not\to u_0$ in X_0 then

$$\|u_0\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx < \liminf_{k\to\infty} \left[\int_Q |u_k(x) - u_k(y)|^p K(x-y) dxdy - \lambda_k \int_\Omega |u_k|^p dx \right] \leq 0$$

which is impossible. Hence $u_k \to u_0$ strongly in X_0 and so

$$\|u_0\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\beta dx \leq 0.$$

Hence $\|u_0\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |u_0|^p dx = 0$ so $u_0 = k\phi_1$ for some k. But as $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx < 0$ we obtain $k = 0$. Thus $u_k \to 0$ in X_0.
(ii) Let $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$. Then we may assume that $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly in X_0 and $v_k \to v_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Clearly

$$\|v_k\|^p - \lambda_k \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = \int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta \|u_k\|^{\beta-p} dx.$$

Since $\|u_k\| \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, we have $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|v_k\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx = 0$. We claim that $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in X_0. Suppose not, then

$$\|v_0\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx < \lim_{k\to\infty} \left[\int_Q |v_k(x) - v_k(y)|^p K(x-y) dxdy - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx \right] \leq 0$$

which gives a contradiction. Hence $v_k \to v_0$ strongly in X_0 and so $\|v_0\| = 1$ and $\|v_0\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx = 0$. Thus $v_0 = \phi_1$ and hence the result. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5: It follows from Theorem 5.7 and 5.8.

At the end, we study non-existence results in $p-$superlinear case. For this, if $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$ then $\phi_1 \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^+$ whenever $\lambda > \lambda_1$. One can easily show in a similar way as in Lemma 5.3 that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\overline{E_\lambda^-} \subset B^+$, whenever $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda < \lambda + \delta$. i.e $E_\lambda^- \cap B^- = \phi$ and so $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is empty. On the other hand $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ is non-empty but we have

Lemma 5.9 *If $E_\lambda^- \cap B^+ \neq \emptyset$, then $\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $u \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^+$ then it is possible to choose $h \in X_0$ with sufficiently small L^∞ norm but sufficiently large X norm so that $\|u+\epsilon h\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u+\epsilon h|^p dx > 0$ and $\int_\Omega b(x)|u+\epsilon h|^\beta dx > \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx$ for any $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$. Let $v_\epsilon = \frac{u+\epsilon h}{\|u+\epsilon h\|}$ then $v_0 \in E_\lambda^-$, $v_1 \in E_\lambda^+$ and there exists $\epsilon_0 \in (0,1)$ such that $v_{\epsilon_0} \in E_\lambda^0$. Moreover, there exists a sequence $\{v_k\} \in E_\lambda^+ \cap B^+$ ($v_k = v_{\epsilon_k}$) such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \left[\|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx \right] = 0$ and

$$\int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx = \frac{1}{\|u+\epsilon_k h\|^\beta} \int_\Omega b(x)|u+\epsilon_k h|^\beta dx \geq \frac{1}{2(\|u\|+\|h\|)^\beta} \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} t(v_k) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left[\frac{\|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx}{\int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx} \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta-p}} = 0.$$

Now $t(v_k)v_k \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, we have

$$J_\lambda(t(v_k)v_k) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) |t(v_k)|^\beta \int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx \to 0.$$

Hence $\inf\limits_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) = 0$. □

Corollary 5.10 *If $\int_\Omega b(x)\phi_1^\beta dx > 0$. Then $\inf\limits_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) = 0$ for every $\lambda > \lambda_1$.*

Proof. We know that for $\lambda > \lambda_1$, $\phi_1 \in E_\lambda^-$, so $E_\lambda^- \cap B^+ \neq \emptyset$. Hence by Theorem 5.9, $\inf\limits_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6: Corollary 5.10 completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

The next result follow the similar result without any assumption but with the large λ.

Lemma 5.11 *There exists $\tilde{\lambda}$ such that $\inf\limits_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) = 0$ for every $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}$.*

Proof. Let $u \in X_0$ such that $\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx > 0$. Then choose $\tilde{\lambda}$ sufficiently large so that $\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx < 0$ whenever $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}$. Thus for $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}$, $u \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^+$ and hence the result follows from Theorem 5.9. □

Finally, we show that J_λ is unbounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ where λ is sufficiently large.

Theorem 5.12 *If $E_\lambda^- \cap B^0 \neq \emptyset$, then $J_\lambda(u)$ is unbounded on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$.*

Proof. Let $u \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^0$. Then by decreasing u slightly in $\{x \in \Omega : b(x) > 0\}$, for given $\epsilon > 0$, we can find $v \in X_0$ with $\|v\| = 1$ such that $\|u - v\| < \epsilon$, $-\epsilon < \int_\Omega b(x)|v|^\beta dx < 0$ and $\|v\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v|^p dx < \frac{1}{2}(\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx)$. Therefore there exist $\delta > 0$ and a sequence $\{v_k\} \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^-$ such that $\|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx < -\delta$ and $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx \to 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} t(v_k) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left[\frac{\|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx}{\int_\Omega b(x)|v_k|^\beta dx}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta-p}} = \infty.$$

Now $t(v_k)v_k \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, we have

$$J_\lambda(t(v_k)v_k) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) |t(v_k)|^p \left[\|v_k\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_k|^p dx\right] \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) |t(v_k)|^p (-\delta) \to -\infty$$

as $k \to \infty$ and so $J_\lambda(u)$ is not bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. □

Corollary 5.13 *There exists $\hat{\lambda}$ such that $J_\lambda(u)$ is unbounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ whenever $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}$.*

Proof. Let $u \in X_0$ with $\|u\| = 1$ and $\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\beta dx = 0$. Choose $\hat{\lambda}$ sufficiently large so that $\|u\|^p - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx < 0$ whenever $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}$. Thus for $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}$, $u \in E_\lambda^- \cap B^0$ and hence the proof is complete. □

Acknowledgements: Authors thank the reviewer for his/her thorough review and highly appreciate the comments and suggestions, which significantly contributed to improving this article.

References

[1] Adimurthi and Giacomoni, Jacques, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a singular and critical elliptic problem in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Commun. Contemp. Math. 8 (2006), no. 5, 621-656.

[2] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis and G. Cerami, *Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems*, J. Funct. Anal. 122 no. 2 (1994) 519-543.

[3] A. Ambrosetti, J. García Azorero and I. Peral, *Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 137 no. 1 (1996) 219-242.

[4] G. A. Afrouzi, S. Mahdavi and Z. Naghizadeh, *The Nehari Manifold for p-Laplacian equation with Dirichlet boundry condition*, Nonlinear Analysis: Modeling and Control 12 (2007) 143-155.

[5] C. O. Alves and A. El Hamidi, *Nehari manifold and existence of positive solutions to a class of quasilinear problem*, Nonlinear Anal. 60 no. 4 (2005) 611-624.

[6] X. Cabre and J. Tan, *Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian*, Adv. Math. 224 (2010) 2052-2093.

[7] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2007) 1245-160.

[8] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. 136 (2012) 225-236.

[9] P. Drabek and S. I. Pohozaev, *Positive solutions for the p-Laplacian: application of the fibering method*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect A 127 (1997) 703-726.

[10] Giovanni Franzina and Giampiero Palatucci, *Fractional p-eigenvalues*, To appear in Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (N.S.) available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1307.1789v1.pdf.

[11] T. F. Wu, *On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318 (2006) 253-270.

[12] T. F. Wu, *A semilinear elliptic problem involving nonlinear boundary condition and sign-changing potential*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 131 (2006) 1-15.

[13] T. F. Wu, *Multiplicity results for a semilinear elliptic equation involving sign-changing weight function*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 39 (3) (2009) 995-1011.

[14] T. F. Wu, *Multiple positive solutions for a class of concave-convex elliptic problems in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *involving sign-changing weight*, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (1) (2010) 99-131.

[15] K. J. Brown and T. F. Wu, *A fibering map approach to a semilinear elliptic boundry value problem*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations 69 (2007) 1-9.

[16] K. J. Brown and Yanping Zhang, *The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with sign-changing weight function*, J. Differential Equations 193 (2003) 481-499.

[17] E. Lindgren and P. Lindqvist, *Fractional eigenvalues*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 49 (2013) 795-826.

[18] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Mountain pass solutions for non-local elliptic operators,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 389 (2012) 887-898.

[19] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type,* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 33 no. 5 (2013) 2105-2137

[20] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Lewy-Stampacchia type estimates for variational inequalities driven by non-local operators*, in Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 29 (2013) 1091-1126.

[21] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Weak and Viscosity of the fractional Laplace equation,* Publ. Mat. vol 58 no 1 (2014).

[22] Xifeng Su and Yuanhong Wei, *Multiplicity of solutions for non-local elliptic equations driven by fractional Laplacian*, J. Differential Equations 252 (2012) 1283-1308.

[23] G. Tarantello, *On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare- Anal. non lineaire 9 (1992) 281-304.

[24] Xiaohui Yu, *The Nehari manifold for elliptic equation involving the square root of the Laplacian*, J. Differential Equations 252 (2012) 1283-1308.